

## **Examples of High Stakes and Low Stakes Assessments**

<b>Function and Components of Evaluation</b>	High Stakes Examples	Low Stakes Examples
Ability to define key course terminology a	nd recall facts	
Writing assignments that require appropriate use of disciplinary or course terminology	<b>✓</b>	✓ This may be done in bite size pieces, where a student will receive feedback on various components.
Fill-in-the-blank questions Matching questions Multiple choice questions Short answer questions	✓ Mid-term or Final Exam that include these style questions	✓ A series of quizzes that include these style questions
Synthesis of key course concepts		
Case studies	✓	×
Debates	✓ one-on-one debates	✓ short small group debates
Essay exams	✓	✓ short essay style questions
Final projects	✓	✓ broken into small bit size pieces with feedback provide on the various components
Multiple choice questions	✓	✓
Presentations	✓	✓ short
Portfolios	✓	✓ with regular feedback
Research projects	✓	✓ projects broken into small bit size pieces

Simulations	✓	✓ focus on one task or component in
		simulation
Application of discrete research, ted	chnical, performance, or meta-cognitive sk	ills
Writing projects	✓	✓ broken into small bit size pieces with
Triting projects		feedback provide on the various components
Case studies	✓	*
Debates	✓ one-on-one debates	✓ short small group debates
Performances	✓	×
Presentations	✓	✓ short
Simulations	✓	✓ focus on one task or component in
		simulation
Ability to transfer knowledge or skil	lls and apply them to new situations	
Writing projects	✓	✓
Case studies	✓	×
Debates	✓ one-on-one	✓ short small group debates
Simulations	√ full simulation	√ focus on one task or component in
		simulation
Creation of new knowledge		
Portfolios	✓	✓ with feedback provided regularly
Video essays	✓	✓ short
Artwork	✓	✓ small pieces
Websites	✓	✓ with feedback provided at different stages
Presentations	✓	✓ short
Research projects	✓	×
Thesis or dissertation	✓	×

## **Resources:**

Memorial's Academic regulations regarding evaluation: https://www.mun.ca/regoff/calendar/sectionNo=REGS-0601

Memorial's CITL Technology Resources site Video Assignments:

https://blog.citl.mun.ca/technologyresources/brightspace-how-tos/assessment/video-assignments/

Memorial's CITL Technology Resources site peerScholar:

https://blog.citl.mun.ca/technologyresources/other-edtech/peerscholar/

It is coming soon if not available.

Memorial's CITL Instructional Resources site: https://blog.citl.mun.ca/instructionalresources/

Videos and resources related to other CITL instructional webinars:

https://blog.citl.mun.ca/technologyresources/webinar-recordings

Memorial's CITL Technology Resources Site: https://blog.citl.mun.ca/technologyresources

Keith, T. (2019). How Should You Choose between Canvas Discussion Boards and a Course Blog?

<a href="https://courses.uchicago.edu/2019/09/26/how-should-you-choose-between-canvas-discussion-boards-and-a-course-blog/">https://courses.uchicago.edu/2019/09/26/how-should-you-choose-between-canvas-discussion-boards-and-a-course-blog/</a>

The following three resources pertain to the use of Twitter in courses:

- Peters, A., Costello, J., Crane, D. (2018). Deviating From the Traditional Instructional Tools: Integrating Twitter in a Sociology of Deviance Course. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technologies, 44*(3). Available online: <a href="https://www.cjlt.ca/index.php/cjlt/article/view/27792">https://www.cjlt.ca/index.php/cjlt/article/view/27792</a> (accessed 24 January 2019)
- Peters, A., Crane, D., Costello, J. (2019). A comparison of students' twitter use in a postsecondary course delivered on campus and online. *Education and Information*Technologies, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-09888-1">https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-09888-1</a>
- Rohr, L. E., & Costello, J. (2015). The use of Twitter as an assessment tool in a large enrollment online course. Online Learning: Official Journal of the Online Learning Consortium: Invited Papers / OLC 20<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Conference Special Issue, 19(4), 25-36.

## References:

Boevé AJ, Meijer RR, Albers CJ, Beetsma Y, Bosker RJ. (2015). Introducing Computer-Based Testing in High-Stakes Exams in Higher Education: Results of a Field Experiment. *PLoS ONE 10*(12): e0143616. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143616

A study on the difference in online and pen and paper assessments.

DePaul University's Teaching Commons: <a href="https://resources.depaul.edu/teaching-commons/Pages/default.aspx">https://resources.depaul.edu/teaching-commons/Pages/default.aspx</a>

DePaul has several resources on High and Low-Stakes Assessments

Eberly Center, Carnegie Mellon University: <a href="https://www.cmu.edu/teaching/solveproblem/strat-cheating/cheating-05.html">https://www.cmu.edu/teaching/solveproblem/strat-cheating/cheating-05.html</a>

An article on how to reduce cheating.

Warnock, S., (2018). Frequent, Low-Stakes Grading: Assessment for communication, confidence. *Faculty Focus*: <a href="https://www.facultyfocus.com/articles/educational-assessment/frequent-low-stakes-grading-assessment-for-communication-confidence/">https://www.facultyfocus.com/articles/educational-assessment/frequent-low-stakes-grading-assessment-for-communication-confidence/</a>

## **Contact Information:**

Daph Crane daphne@mun.ca

Jane Costello jcostello@mun.ca